

The Laplace transform of a Gaussian

Peter Haggstrom
www.gotohaggstrom.com
mathsatbondibeach@gmail.com

November 29, 2018

1 The Fourier transform of a Gaussian

Students of Fourier theory are aware that the Fourier transform of a Gaussian is a Gaussian. This important result can be proved in a couple of ways. Two proofs are set out in [1]. As a preliminary to the related Laplace transform case a short proof of the Fourier transform case runs as follows. We want to show that if:

$$f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2} \quad \text{then} \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = f(\xi) \quad (1)$$

In what follows we use this form of the Fourier transform of $f(x)$:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \quad (2)$$

With this we let:

$$F(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x^2} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \quad (3)$$

So

$$F(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x^2} dx = 1 \quad (4)$$

This is just a standard result in first year calculus. In what follows we need these two results [see, [2] page 136]. The symbol $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}}$ simply means that the Fourier transform is being taken.

$$f'(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi) \quad (5)$$

$$-2\pi i x f'(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) \quad (6)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
F'(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) (-2\pi i x) e^{-2\pi i x} dx \\
&= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x) e^{-2\pi i x} dx \quad \text{since } f'(x) = -2\pi x f(x) \\
&= i(2\pi i \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \\
&= -2\pi \xi F(\xi)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Define $G(\xi) = F(\xi)e^{\pi\xi^2}$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
G'(\xi) &= F(\xi) \times 2\pi\xi e^{\pi\xi^2} + e^{\pi\xi^2} F'(\xi) \\
&= e^{\pi\xi^2} (F'(\xi) + 2\pi\xi F(\xi)) \\
&= 0 \quad \text{using (7)}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

This means that G is a constant. Since $F(0) = 1$ it follows that $G \equiv 1$.

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= F(\xi)e^{\pi\xi^2} \\
\therefore F(\xi) &= e^{-\pi\xi^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

2 The Laplace transform of a Gaussian

With the Fourier transform behind us we can now do the much easier Laplace transform. Recall that for $s > 0$ the Laplace transform is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(x)](s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) e^{-sx} dx \tag{10}$$

With $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}[e^{-\pi x^2}](s) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi x^2} e^{-sx} dx \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi(x^2+sx)} dx \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi[(x+\frac{s}{2})^2-\frac{s^2}{4}]} dx \\
&= e^{\frac{\pi s^2}{4}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi(x+\frac{s}{2})^2} dx \\
&= e^{\frac{\pi s^2}{4}} \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-\pi u^2} du \quad \text{with substitution } u = x + \frac{s}{2} \\
&= e^{\frac{\pi s^2}{4}} \left[\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi u^2} du - \int_0^{\frac{s}{2}} e^{-\pi u^2} du \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We know that $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi u^2} du = \frac{1}{2}$ and the Error Function $\text{erf}(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt \tag{12}$$

The Complementary Error Function ($\text{erfc}(x)$) is defined as:

$$\text{erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{erf}(x) \tag{13}$$

With the substitution $t = \sqrt{\pi}u$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{s}{2}} e^{-\pi u^2} du &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{s\sqrt{\pi}}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{erf}\left(\frac{s\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

So going back to (11) we have that the Laplace transform of a Gaussian is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}[e^{-\pi x^2}](s) &= e^{\frac{\pi s^2}{4}} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \text{erf}\left(\frac{s\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\pi s^2}{4}} \text{erfc}\left(\frac{s\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

So the Laplace transform of a Gaussian is not a Gaussian.

3 References

[1] Peter Haggstrom, “*Basic Fourier integrals*“, <https://www.gotohaggstrom.com/Basic%20Fourier%20integrals.pdf>

[2] Elias M Stein and Rami Shakarchi, “*Fourier Analysis: An Introduction*”, Princeton University Press, 2003

4 History

Created 28/11/2018

29/11/2018 - corrected a dopey typo in (2)!